IN THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT COURT OF COUNTY, KANSAS

Plaintiff

v. Case No.

 (If Available)

Defendant

**NOTICE OF DISPUTED VALIDITY UNDER K.S.A. 75-764**

TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF KANSAS:

 The ❒ plaintiff ❒ defendant in the above-identified case has disputed the validity of a Kansas statute or constitutional provision on grounds that the law violates the state constitution, federal constitution, or a provision of federal law. This dispute was made ❒ in writing and the document title is: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, or ❒ verbally on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. This notice is provided under K.S.A. 75-764 because of the following:

\_\_\_\_\_ This is a **criminal** case and notice was provided to the prosecuting attorney, but the prosecuting attorney did not file a written response within 21 days of service, as required by Kansas Supreme Court Rule 147.

\_\_\_\_\_ This is a **civil** case and the party disputing the validity of the law did not file a certificate of service of notice showing service on the attorney general, as required by Kansas Supreme Court Rule 148.
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